

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMI	BER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06.	725,206	04.	/19/85	JEFFERY	d	j	BOOTS12CONTI
							EVAMINED

JACOBS & JACOBS, P.C. 521 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10175 SHAVER , F'

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

124

DATE MAILED: 10/02/86

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS					
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 6/25/86	This action is made final.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3_month(s),					
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 4. Notice of informal Patent 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6.					
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1. \(\sims\) \(\ta\),	_ are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claims	_ are withdrawn from consideration.				
2. Claims	_ have been cancelled.				
3. Claims	_ are allowed.				
4. [DClaims 163,769, 13,15,42,47649, and 52to22	_ are rejected.				
5. Claims	are objected to.				
6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purpose matter is indicated.	s until such time as allowable subject				
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office	ce action.				
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These draw These draw	ings are 🔲 acceptable;				
The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).					
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed					
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	been received not been received				
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	_				
 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 	I AS TO THE METITS IS CLOSED IN				
14. Other					

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

Art Unit 124

Claims 1 to 3, 7 to 9, 13, 15, 42, 47 to 49, and 52 to 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 to 29 of prior U.S. Patent No. 4,522,828.

This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 1 to 3, 7 to 9, 13, 15, 42, 47 to 49, and 52 to 82 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1, 7, 13, 42, and 50 to 71 of copending application serial no. 725,129 in view of 4,522,828.

4.522.828 teaches the equivalence of 1-6C alkyls with respect to $\ensuremath{R_{\mathrm{1}}}\xspace$.

P.F.Shaver/pww
A/C 703-557-3971

9/17/86

PAUL F. SHAVER
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 124